Evidence-based psychotherapy for treatment of anorexia nervosa in children and adolescents: systematic review
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Abstract

Background: Efficacy studies on the treatment of anorexia nervosa (AN) in childhood and adolescence are scarce and systematic reviews are almost non-existent. Objective: Systematic review of the literature regarding the modalities of psychological intervention based on evidence used in the treatment of AN in childhood and adolescence. Methods: The research was carried out in the databases: PubMed, PsycINFO and Cochrane, using the combined keywords: anorexia nervosa and evidence-based therapy. Articles published between 1990 and 2015 were assessed. Results: Of the 139 eligible articles, 14 were selected, of which 10 (71.4%) were conducted in the United States and England. The sample ranged from 9 to 167 participants. Randomized Clinical Trial represented the most frequent design (n = 9; 63.4%), with more than half of the interventions structured in 20 or more sessions (n = 9; 64.3%). Nine types of treatments were tested, with the most tested being Family-Based Treatment (FBT) (n = 7; 50%). Interventions involving the family seem to be more effective, however, the rates for complete remission are modest. Discussion: Although evidence of efficacy was verified in the treatments analyzed, the limited number of studies, the various methodological limitations and the methodological heterogeneity between studies make the findings inconclusive. Keywords: Anorexia nervosa, treatment, adolescence, systematic review, evidence-based psychotherapy.

Introduction

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is an eating disorder often initiated in adolescence, which causes severe disturbances to the eating behavior, resulting in damages that compromise the physical health and the psychosocial functioning of the individual. Its diagnostic criteria are: restriction of caloric intake, which leads to a body mass index lower than the normal minimum expected in terms of age, gender, developmental trajectory and physical health; intense fear of gaining weight, resulting in persistent behavior aimed at weight and body shape control and disturbances in the manner in which weight or body shape is interpreted. Despite the AN prevalence rate being relatively low, ranging from 0.3% to 1% in the United States and England, the functional and physiological impairments associated with this condition are pervasive. In addition, the mortality rates due to malnutrition and suicide are the highest among all psychiatric disorders. Whereby, early psychosocial interventions during adolescence are associated with a positive prognostic impact, by reducing damage and preventing chronic disease. The use of proven effective treatments by the respective health care professionals, is therefore fundamental. However, due to the complex interaction of clinical and psychiatric problems, with emphasis on the ambivalent attitudes of patients and their families towards treatment, there are significant obstacles that moderate the effectiveness of psychotherapeutic interventions and contribute to a limited number of studies in the area.

Some controlled studies suggest that family-based approaches produce more satisfactory results when compared to individual therapies, among adolescents with AN. However, a systematic review with meta-analysis found that at the end of family-based treatment (FBT) no improvement was shown in comparison with the outcome of the individual intervention (Z = 1.62, p = 0.11). The same study pointed out that the family approach was significantly superior to that of the individual treatment at follow-up (Z = 2.94, p < 0.003).

Another systematic review conducted in 2005 on the treatment of AN in childhood and adolescence only found five available randomized controlled trials (RCTs). The analysis of the results of these RCTs showed an intermediate or good outcome ranging from 47% to 90% among participants submitted to family-based treatment (adolescent and family members together) and between 18% and 90% for individual treatment or those involving adolescents and families, separately. Although there is scientific evidence regarding the efficacy of these interventions, the wide variation of the results makes the findings inconclusive. Despite there being a consensus that AN is associated with high morbidity and mortality rates, especially when the onset occurs in childhood and adolescence, clinical trials on the subject in young people are scarce, and systematic reviews are almost non-existent. The purpose of this review is to describe the evidence-based psychological interventions in the literature for the treatment of AN in childhood and adolescence. The findings of this study may help specialists to use effective therapies in the treatment of their young AN patients.

Methods

This is a systematic review of the literature, based on the recommendations described by PRISMA. This bibliographic research was carried out in the second semester of 2016, in the databases PubMed, PsycINFO and Cochrane. The following search terms were used in combination: anorexia nervosa and evidence-based therapy.

In PubMed, each of the search terms were placed, in a separate row on the Advanced Research tab, with the option Any Field selected along with “AND” being selected between the terms. In the article filter, under the Article Types option, the Randomized Controlled Trial option was selected. On the publication date tab, the period from 1990 to 2015 was selected. On the ages tab, the following ages were selected: Child: 6-12 years and Adolescent: 13-18 years.
In PsycINFO, each of the search terms were placed, in a separate row on the Advanced Research tab, with the option Any Field selected along with “AND” being selected between the terms. In the article filter, under the Methodology tab, the following study designs were selected: Treatment Outcome/Clinical Trial. On the age tab, Adolescence (13-17 yrs) and School Age (6-12 yrs) were selected. In Cochrane the same criteria were used.

The following inclusion criteria were established: articles published between 1990 and 2015; Evidence-based psychological treatment for children and adolescents with a mean age of less than 18 years, exclusive psychological treatment of Anorexia Nervosa (DSM-III, IV or V, depending on the time of publication), treated in outpatient or inpatient units. The following exclusion criteria were adopted: meta-analysis articles, systematic review studies, studies in which the aim involved the development of instruments for the assessment of signs and symptoms of eating disorders, studies involving pharmacological treatment or psychological treatment of other eating disorders.

Bibliographical research was independently performed by two specialists in eating disorders, both with master’s degrees, based on the same procedure, to compare the results obtained by each other. In case of disagreement, a third master’s degree level researcher refereed in regards to the pertinence of being included in the study.

The categories used to analyze the articles were: study nationality, year of publication, objectives, number of participants, mean age, theoretical perspective, number of intervention sessions, study design, instruments used to assess food symptoms and the family variables, end-of-treatment/follow-up results and methodological limitations.

Results

Of the 139 articles identified, 14 (10%) met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Figure 1 shows the number of articles identified, selected and analyzed in each of the databases consulted.

Table 1 describes the studies that tested the psychotherapeutic interventions for AN in childhood and adolescence.

### Country of study origin and year of publication

A greater predominance of studies in the United States (n = 7; 50%) and in England (n = 3; 21.4%) was observed. Only one article (7.1%) was published in Brazil. No study was found in other Latin American countries. Nor were studies found that were conducted in Asia or Africa. Dividing the period of 1990 and 2015 in two (1990-2002 vs. 2003-2015) there is a concentration of publications between 2003 and 2015 (n = 10; 71.4%).

---

**Table 1. Description of the studies’ characteristics (n = 14)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors/Country</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age (SD)</th>
<th>Theoretical perspective</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Le Grange et al. (1992)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of two modalities of family therapy: CFT and SFT for adolescents with AN in outpatient treatment.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.33 (1.81)</td>
<td>CFT vs. SFT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>MRAOS, EAT, RSE, SCFI, FACES-III</td>
<td>Of the 18 participants, 12 (67%) had a good/intermediate outcome, considering both treatments together, and 6 (33%), had a poor outcome. Both treatments showed improvement in terms of weight gain and relief of psychological symptoms, with no differences between groups (p &gt; 0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Period: Two years after baseline. Result: There were no changes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** Flowchart of the bibliographic research.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors/Country</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Theoretical perspective</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>End of treatment</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robin et al. (1994) (United States)</td>
<td>Assess the impact on family relations for BFST vs. EOT.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>BFST: 14.7 (SD = 2.7) EOT: 13.9 (SD = 2.1)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>PARQ, OFC</td>
<td>BFST vs. EOT (Current name: ASF)</td>
<td>55% of the BFST participants and 46% of the IOTR participants recovered in terms of weight and menstruation (p &gt; 0.05). Descriptive analysis indicates better results from BFST.</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin et al. (1999) (United States)</td>
<td>To compare the effectiveness of BFST vs. EOT in the treatment of adolescents with AN.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>BFST vs. EOT (Current name: ASF)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>EAT, EDI, MFS, BDI, YSR, CBCL, PARQ</td>
<td>52.6% of BFST patients and 41.2% of patients in the EOT group reached a 50th percentile in terms of weight (p &gt; 0.05). 94% of the BFST group and 84% of the EOT group were menstruating regularly (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
<td>Period: 12 months. Results: 86.7% of the BFST group and 88.8% of the EOT group reached the 50th percentile of weight (p &gt; 0.05). 92.9% of the girls undergoing BFST and 80% of the EOT group were menstruating regularly (p &gt; 0.05).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisler et al. (2000) (England)</td>
<td>To assess and compare the efficacy of two psychological interventions for AN: CFT vs. SFT.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.5 (SD = 1.6)</td>
<td>CFT vs. SFT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>15-16</td>
<td>SMFQ, RSE, EAT, EDI, MOCI, YBC-ED, FES</td>
<td>CFT: 5 (26.3%) patients had a good outcome, 4 (21%) intermediate and 10 (52.7%) poor. SFT: 10 (47.6%) patients had a good outcome, 6 (26.5%) intermediate and 5 (23.8%) poor. There were no differences between groups (p &gt; 0.05).</td>
<td>Did not exist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball &amp; Mitchell (2004) (Australia)</td>
<td>To compare CBT with BFT in the treatment of adolescents with AN.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15.2 (SD = 1.7)</td>
<td>CBT vs. BFT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>MRS, EDE, ABOS, EDI, BDI, STAI-YI</td>
<td>89.2% of CBT participants completed treatment vs. 75% undergoing BFT. 77.8% of those who completed CBT and BFT treatment had a “good” or “intermediate” outcome (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
<td>Period: 6 months. There were no changes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lock et al. (2005) (United States)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of short term (ST) and long term (LT) of FTB in the treatment of adolescents with AN.</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>15.2 (SD = 1.7)</td>
<td>BFT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>ST: 10 LT: 20</td>
<td>YSR, CBCL, EDE, K-SADS, YBC-ED, FES</td>
<td>There were no differences in primary outcomes (BMI and EDE) in the ST and LT interventions (p &gt; 0.05). The indicators of internalizing behavioral problems (CBCL) and the subscale for eating behavior (EDE) were lower in the participants undergoing LT intervention.</td>
<td>Did not exist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gowers et al. (2007) (England)</td>
<td>To assess the effectiveness of three treatment modalities for AN available in the British healthcare system: CAMHS vs. hospitalization in psychiatric ward vs. outpatient specialty care.</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>12-18 years</td>
<td>CBT and BFT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>EDI, MIRAOS, HoNOSCA, FAD, MFQ</td>
<td>CAMHS: 10 (18.2%) had a good results, 31 (56%) intermediate and 13 (24%) were poor. Specialized outpatient clinic: 8 (15%) had a good outcome, 22 (40%) intermediate and 24 (44%) were poor. Inpatient ward: 12 (21%) had a good results, 18 (32%) intermediate and 26 (46%) were poor.</td>
<td>Period: 2 years after baseline. Results: CAMHS 20 (36%) had a good outcome, 20 (36%) intermediate and 14 (24%) were poor. Specialized outpatient clinic: 13 (24%) had a good outcome, 28 (51%) intermediate and 12 (22%) were poor. Inpatient ward: 19 (33%) good, 17 (30%) intermediate and 17 (30%) were poor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulson-Karlsson et al. (2009) (Sweden)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of FTS + CFT for adolescents with AN undergoing outpatient treatment.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15.4 (SD = 1.4)</td>
<td>SFT + CFT</td>
<td>Open trial</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>RAB, EDI, YSR, FSC</td>
<td>No results were reported at the end of treatment.</td>
<td>Period: 36 months. Results: 25 (78%) of the participants achieved complete remission, with reduction of eating symptoms, internalizing problems and improvement of family situation. No results were reported in terms of good, intermediate or poor outcomes, nor the results at the end of treatment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors/Country</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Theoretical perspective</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Sessions</td>
<td>Instruments</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>End of treatment</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lock et al. (2010)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>To compare the impact of FBT vs AFT (former EOIT) on complete remission in the treatment of adolescents with AN.</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>14.4 (DP = 1.6)</td>
<td>FBT vs. AFT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>EDE, K-SADS</td>
<td>Complete remission in 22.8% undergoing AFT and 41.8% undergoing FBT, (p &gt; 0.05). Period: 12 months. Results: complete remission in 23.2% undergoing AFT and 49.3% undergoing FBT (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkiewicz et al. (2010)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (Brazil)</td>
<td>To assess the viability, acceptance and efficacy of FBT for AN in adolescents in Brazil.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.64 (DP = 1.63)</td>
<td>FBT</td>
<td>Open Trial</td>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>EDE-Q, CGAS</td>
<td>7 (78%) completed the treatment. Six (68%) re-established the target weight. 4 (44%) returned to menstruating regularly. There was no statistically significant reduction in the EDE-Q or CGAS scores. Period: 6 months. Results: 7 (100%) were assessed. They all regained their weight and returned to menstruating regularly. There was improvement in CGAS (p &lt; 0.05), but not in the EDE-Q.</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalle-Grave et al. (2013)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (Italy)</td>
<td>To assess the effects of the CBT-e intervention in adolescents with AN and to determine if this type of intervention can be an alternative to FBT.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>15.5 (SD = 1.3)</td>
<td>CBT-e</td>
<td>Open Trial</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>EDE-q, GSI</td>
<td>29 (63%) completed treatment, 9 (32%) re-established 95% of ideal weight and 28 of those who completed treatment (96.5%) reduced symptoms of eating disorders and other psychiatric disorders compared to the baseline of the treatment. Period: 12 months. Results: 29 (63%) were assessed at follow-up. Of these, 13 (44.8%) re-established 95% of the ideal weight. Psychiatric symptoms remained stable.</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agras et al. (2014)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (United States)</td>
<td>vs</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>15.3 (SD = 1.8)</td>
<td>FBT vs. SyFT</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>EDE, BDI, RSES, QUES (short form), SAI, CYBOCS YBCEDS</td>
<td>All participants completed both treatment modalities. Remission rate of 33.1% for FBT and 25% for SyFT (p &gt; 0.05) in all endpoints, except for the self-esteem scale, in favor of SyFT.</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timko et al. (2015)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (United States)</td>
<td>To assess the feasibility, acceptability and effectiveness of ASFT.</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14.02 (SD = 1.58)</td>
<td>ASFT</td>
<td>Open Trial</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>CEOQ, EDE, EDEq, ABOS, famQ, DERS, AFQ-Y and AAQ-2</td>
<td>of the participants who started treatment, 49% had complete remission and 29.8% had partial remission. The proportion of patients who completed treatment with total remission was 67.7% and, with partial remission, 32.3%.</td>
<td>Did not exist.</td>
<td>Did not exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accurso et al. (2015)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (United States)</td>
<td>To compare FBT-based intervention results achieved in a study with a RCT design, of those obtained in a traditional clinical setting (SCC)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>14.5 (SD = 2.2)</td>
<td>FBT</td>
<td>Mixt (Open Trial + RCT)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>EDE-12, D, KSADS, BDI</td>
<td>57% of the total participants had reestablished their weight: RCT (62.5%) and SCC (53.8%). There were no differences between groups (p &lt; 0.05). Patients with BMI &lt; 81% of expected had better RCT results (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
<td>Did not exist.</td>
<td>Did not exist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASFT: Acceptance-based Separated Family Treatment; ACT: Acceptance and Commitment Therapy; FBT: Family-Based Treatment; RCT: randomized clinical trial; EDE: Eating Disorder Examination; EDE-q: Eating Disorder Examination questionnaire; ABOS: Anorexic Behavior Observation Scale; famQ: Family Questionnaire; DERS: Difficulties in Emotional Regulation Scale; AFQ-Y: Action and Feasibility Questionnaire-Youth; AAQ-2: Acceptance and Action Questionnaire; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; BFFT: Behavioral Family Systems Therapy; EOUT: Ego Oriented Individual Therapy; PARQ: Parent Adolescent Relationship Questionnaire; CFC: Observed Family Conflicts; CBT-E: Cognitive Behaviour Therapy Enhanced; GSI: Global Severity Index; CGAS: Children’s Global Assessment Scale; SyFT: Systemic Family Therapy; RSES: Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale; QLES: Quality of Life and Enjoyment Scale (short form); SAI: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; CYBOCS: Child Yale Brown obsessive Compulsive Scale; YBCEDS: Yale Brown Cornell Eating Disorder Scale; EAT: Eating Attitudes Test; EDE: Eating Disorder Inventory; MFFS: Maturity Fears and Perfectionism Scale; YSR: Youth Self Report; CBCL: Child Behavior Checklist; CAMHS: Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia; CFT: Conjoint Family Therapy; RAB: Rating of Anorexia and Bulimia Nervosa; FSC: The Family Climate self-rating scale; SMFQ: Short Mood and Feeling Questionnaire; MOCI: Maudsley Obsessional Compulsive Index; FACES III: Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scale; SDR: Standardized Clinical Family Intervention; HNoSCa: Health of the Nation Outcome Scale for Children and Adolescents; FAD: Family Assessment Device; MFI: Mood and Feeling Questionnaire; FE3: Family Environment Scale; MRADS: Morgan-Russell Average Outcome Scale.
Design

Regarding the study design, four (28.5%) were open trials9,12,22,27; nine with RCT design16,20,24-30 and one (7.1%) had mixed design (open trial + RCT)31.

Participants

The number of participants in each intervention ranged from nine22 to 16728 with a median of 43 and a mean of 63.7 (SD = 51.8). In all studies, the criterion for the diagnosis of AN was DSM-III, IV or V, depending on the time of the study. The age group selected in the studies ranged from 11 to 20 years, with mean age ranging from 13.9 years (SD = 2.1)22 to 18.45 (SD = 2.57)21. Female adolescents represented the majority in all studies.

Objectives

In general, three trends were verified in relation to the objectives of the studies analyzed: (1) to assess the efficacy of recent interventions in open or randomized clinical trials28,33,34; (2) to compare the efficacy of two different interventions previously tested16,20,30,25 and (3) to assess the effect of a previously tested intervention, in different doses or contexts such as the comparison of treatment efficacy in the short vs. long term or interventions in private clinics vs in the context of research27,28,32.

Assessment instruments

In order to assess the symptoms of AN the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE), questionnaire or structured interview format, was the most used instrument (n = 8; 57.1%), followed by EDI, which was used in five studies (35.7%). For the assessment of the characteristics of family functioning, the instruments most used were: PAQ, a self-reported questionnaire that assesses the quality of the bond between adolescents and their parents (n = 3; 21.5%) and FACES III, a self-completion scale that evaluates the levels of cohesion and adaptability of the family (n = 2; 14.3%). A large number of other instruments for assessing family functioning were applied: famQ = Family Questionnaire; FSC = The Family Climate self-rating scale; FAD = Family Assessment Device.

Psychotherapy modalities and protocol characteristics

The following interventions were tested: Family-Based Treatment (FBT), Behavioral Family Therapy (BFST), Adolescent Focused Individual Therapy (ASF; former EOIT), Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT), Cognitive Behavior Therapy Enhanced (CBT-e), Systematic Family Therapy (SyFT), Cojoined Family Therapy (CFT), Separated Family Treatment (SFT) and Acceptance-based Separated Family Treatment (ASFT). An attempt was also made to combine two different modalities of psychotherapy (SFT+CFT)34, as well as an attempt to create a new psychotherapy protocol based on the principles of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), a new modality of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy5.

The duration of the psychotherapy protocol varied from nine22 to 48 sessions. More than half of the interventions were structured with 20 or more sessions (64.3%). Certain studies had not predetermined the duration of the psychotherapy protocol. The only study that assessed the differences between short and long-term interventions attributed the first classification to the interventions with ten sessions and the second classification to those with 20 consultations. In this study, the researchers did not find differences between the groups in terms of BMI and dietary symptoms following treatment27.

Efficacy of the treatment

The research protocol most tested was FBT (n = 7; 50%). In general, the studies comparing the efficacy of the interventions did not find statistically significant differences between groups. Of the eight studies comparing the efficacy of interventions, only two (25%) found statistically significant differences between the groups compared28,30. In the first, the BFST was found to produce, at the end of treatment, results superior to the AFT (former EOIT) in terms of biological markers. In the second, it was found that, despite the comparison of the end of treatment for FBT vs. AFT not producing statistically significant differences, these differences were found in the follow-up period for the cases.

Methodological limitations

Several methodological limitations were identified in the studies assessed: lack of description regarding the inclusion and exclusion criteria28-30, loss of a significant number of participants (> 25%) during treatment and follow-up24, lack of clarity regarding the remission criteria or remission assessed exclusively on the basis of biological markers12,25,29-31, difficulty in randomizing baseline participants26,31, presence of confounding variables, such as the need for hospitalization, the use of antidepressants for the treatment of comorbidities, which were not necessarily controlled in the analyses9,12,22,26,27,30,31; and non-probabilistic samples20,25,29,30. In only one study no methodological limitations were found26.

Discussion

The results indicated the lack of published research in the southern hemisphere, with the exception of Brazil and Australia. This is an important gap in evidence-based psychotherapies for the treatment of AN in childhood and adolescence, to the extent that sociocultural differences, especially in Latin American countries31, such as stronger and more lasting family ties with the family of origin, parenting styles and socio-educational skills may influence patient adherence to treatment and the efficacy of the intervention. The concentration of articles published in the last decade was verified, which indicates that, although in an incipient manner, studies in the field of ED in childhood and adolescence have recently emerged.

Design

In the present review 9 RCTs were found, a number higher than that found in a systematic review conducted for AN treatment in childhood and adolescence, published in 2005, which found only five studies with this design31. Although the RCT design is considered to be the gold standard for evaluating the efficacy of treatments, among the studies analyzed, several methodological limitations have decreased the quality of the scientific evidence produced.

Participants

A large variation in sample size was observed, and most of the studies used non-probabilistic samples, possibly justified by the difficulties inherent in the treatment of AN30 and by the low prevalence compared to other psychiatric disorders, such as major depression and anxiety disorders1. In addition to the negation of the disease, avoidance of treatment and the patients’ ambivalence towards the desire to improve, since recovery involves weight gain, all these factors imply low adherence to treatment and a high number of participants being lost after treatment and during follow-up.

Girls represented the vast majority in all studies analyzed. However, recent research points to an increase in the number of boys with AN19-37, which indicates the need to assess their particularities in terms of clinical presentation, history of being overweight, impact of culture and media on eating behavior, as well as gender and sexuality. These variables have been addressed in qualitative studies38 and in case studies39, however, to date, there are few clinical trials with samples of children with AN. In this sense, samples composed by boys are recommended for future studies in order to explore their peculiarities and assess the response to treatment, for the purpose of increasing the efficacy of the services offered to this population.
Psychotherapy modalities and protocol characteristics

With the exception of the ASF26,29,30, with its psychodynamic approach, and the SyFT26,29 with its systemic approach, all other studies \( (n = 10; 71.5\%) \) are based on the principles of Cognitive, Behavioral or Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies (FBT was included in the cognitive-behavioral therapies group because it has the same basic principles in regards to how the selection, maintenance and management of AN is performed).

In Psychodynamic Therapy26,29,30 it is the patients with AN who were considered to present egoic fragilities associated with difficulty with situations of uncontrollability, alexithymia and intolerance to emotional discomfort, in addition to difficulties with individuation and transitioning from childhood to adulthood. They use the eating control and food restriction, whether consciously or unconsciously, to reduce the contact with negative affections. The food restriction may also serve as a way of communicating through the body, unconscious affects that could not be transmitted via language.

The objective of this modality of psychotherapy is to strengthen the adolescent’s egoic functions, develop tolerance to negative affects, including partial uncontrollability and the risks associated with adulthood, while training the ability to recognize and communicate positive and negative emotions. At the beginning of the psychotherapy process, behavioral goals are established for reducing food restriction and increasing weight, while these objectives are worked on in parallel with those described previously.

In the studies assessed26,29,30 the psychodynamic psychotherapy protocol was offered during 32 sessions, 24 of which were made available to the adolescent individually and eight sessions were given to the parents, without the presence of the adolescent, with the objective being to assess the parental egoic functions, approach the parental behaviors that could assist in the process of changing the child’s weight and to update the parents regarding the progress and difficulties of the psychotherapy process.

In Systemic Psychotherapy, AN is considered to be the expression of a family problem rather than an individual one. The therapist assesses the patterns of family beliefs and behaviors, as well as the individuals’ communication skills. The objective of this modality of psychotherapy is to produce a new family functioning in which there is no need for one of its members to be chronically ill. There is no specific focus on decreasing behaviors such as food restriction or weight recovery goals. However, when the family spontaneously brings these aspects up, they must be addressed by the psychotherapist.

In the systemic psychotherapy all 16 sessions are performed with the parents and the adolescent with AN together. Siblings or other people who live in the house are invited to participate in the psychotherapy sessions. The presence of all the members allows the clinician to assess the interaction pattern in the therapeutic setting, which is considered to be a sample of the family’s daily functioning.

The Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies, although differing in terms of the setting (for example, number of sessions with the adolescent and parents, content covered, session time), have the following aspects in common: (1) psychoeducation about cognitive and behavioral changes associated with AN and training on the recognition and management of these changes by the parents and adolescents; (2) they consider that the adolescent is momentarily unable to choose how he/she will eat, since his/her judgment about the quantity and quality of food is altered and (3) their main objective is to reduce parents’ guilt for having a child with AN and their empowerment as protagonists in the feedback process.

Based on these premises, several behaviors/techniques are trained for providing feedback to the child and how to react consistently in case of refusal, excess anger or attempts to circumvent the treatment. After regaining weight, the focus is on its maintenance. When the adolescent reaches a BMI that poses no risk to his or her life, issues relating to adolescence such as autonomy and new challenges, as well as issues regarding family dynamics are addressed. None of the psychotherapy protocols described assessed the efficacy of the treatment group.

Assessment instruments

A significant number of assessment instruments were used to assess the behavioral profile of the patients, their parents and the ED symptoms. An even greater diversity was used to assess family functioning and family characteristics, such as perceived family support, level of autonomy, and degree of differentiation among the members. The wide variety of instruments used to assess the same family variables makes it difficult to compare the studies. In this sense, an attempt to standardize the instruments used in different research sites can be a promising measure; which would allow, in addition to the comparison between results from different samples, the development of meta-analyses.

Efficacy of the treatment

Most studies did not find a statistically significant difference when comparing the different treatment modalities26,29,30. This can be justified by the reduced sample size of most of the studies, resulting in a possible type II error (not finding differences between groups when they exist).

The studies26,30 that found significant differences between groups, compared individual treatment, focusing on the adolescent vs treatment of the adolescent and the family members. It was found that the second modality was more promising, which suggests that interventions that include the family in the treatment have a greater reach than those only involving the adolescent. This finding is corroborated by previous studies13,14,27.

Apparently, in terms of cost-benefit ratio, FBT is superior to the other treatment modalities, as it is associated with faster regaining of weight and, therefore, less days of hospitalization. In even FBT, the complete remission rates are not encouraging. This finding points to the need to develop and assess the efficacy of new modalities of research protocols in psychotherapy that integrate FBT interventions with the approach for AN maintaining factors associated with family dynamics, which are already established in the literature.

The combining of good and intermediate outcomes without the presentation of the gross numbers was found, along with the creation of alternative remission criteria, and the presentation of only the participants who finished the treatment, rather than presenting the drop-out percentage; thus making it difficult to compare the results between the studies assessed. It is noteworthy that the study with the largest sample size and methodological rigor28 found some of the lowest complete remission rates among those reported: 18.2% in non-specialized health care, 15% in a specialized outpatient clinic and 21% in an inpatient unit.

Methodological limitations

Interventions with non-probabilistic samples may possibly produce a type II error. This occurred in the great majority of the studies analyzed, and therefore, the findings should be assessed with caution. In this sense, multi-site studies are recommended, in which standardized psychotherapy protocols are used, which can be assessed in multi-site studies, increasing the sample-size and the statistical power of the data.

The lack of clarity regarding the remission criteria makes it difficult to compare the results and the remission assessed exclusively on the basis of biological markers impoverishes the analysis, since it does not consider cognitive and behavioral variables. It was observed that studies which included only biological indicators, such as BMI and menstruation, had more favorable results, with a complete remission of 50% and 60% of the patients who started treatment. Studies that included psychopathological indicators, such as treatment outcome presented less favorable results, reaching 25%, as in the study by Agras et al.24.

Based on this finding, it is verified that the challenge of providing feedback to the patients with AN is met with less difficulty than the approach for the respective psychological components. Disregarding the psychological components associated with AN as a primary
outcome partially explains the modest rates for complete remission and the high rates of relapse found in this population.39,40

Conclusion

Based on the results of this systematic review it was possible to outline the evidence-based studies for the psychotherapeutic treatment of AN in childhood and adolescence. Although the review did meet its objective, it is necessary to list certain limitations that moderate the quality of the scientific evidence produced; whereby the qualitative methodology used in the data extraction reduces the strength of the analyses, since the results were not submitted to inferential statistical analysis. Another possible limitation is related to the wide variety of objectives, treatments, outcomes, instruments in the assessed studies, a characteristic that hinders the conclusions, but which, on the other hand, points to the need for methodological standardization of the clinical trials in the area so that the studies may be compared.

It has been found that the efficacy of evidence-based treatments available for AN in childhood and adolescence is modest. Psychological interventions involving the family seem to have presented better prognoses when compared to interventions aimed exclusively at the children and adolescents. FBT is the most tested psychotherapy protocol, and in comparison with the others, it seems to be the most appropriate modality of treatment for the child-adolescent population. However, given the limited number of studies and various methodological limitations found, it is not possible to make a conclusion on the efficacy of the interventions. This aspect, as already identified in a previous review, remains stable and constitutes an important limitation in the field of ED in childhood and adolescence.

Even in the FBT, the treatment modality that appears to present the best evidence on efficacy, the results are only modest, with positive outcomes in approximately half the cases. Thus, there is a need to improve the content of existing psychotherapy protocols, both in the treatment of parents and adolescents, in individual sessions, in an effort to include the topics described in the literature as being crucial in the approach for patients with AN and their families.

Psychological inflexibility and deficits in social-emotional skills have been repeatedly observed in adolescents with AN and their parents. Along the same lines, inappropriate parenting styles and practices have been reported among the parents of these adolescents. However, to date, these variables have seldom been investigated in clinical trials for this population. Since these deficits are considered to be risk factors for AN selection and maintenance, studies that include training in social-emotional skills, adolescent psychological flexibility and the training of appropriate parenting styles and practices are necessary.

All the clinical trials selected in this review were exclusively for girls or samples composed mostly of girls. Since the prevalence of boys with AN has increased, studies to assess the clinical presentation and the respective response to treatment of these patients are important.

Finally, certain methodological precautions are recommended in the development of clinical trials aimed at assessing the efficacy of psychological treatments for AN in childhood and adolescence, such as the standardization of the instruments used for assessing the outcome of AN symptoms and family functioning; the standardization of the remission criteria, which should be based on both physiological indicators and cognitive symptoms and a clear description of the criteria for inclusion and exclusion of participants. Multicenter studies are recommended to produce trials with probabilistic samples in order to increase the internal validity of the studies.
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